SINGLE BENCH 5

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

(CHHATTISGARH)

W.P. No. 1377/06 S.B.

PETIIONERS

1) Chandrabhan Nishad S/o Krishna Nishad, Aged about- 20 years R/o Village Bhairamgarh, Dewangan Para, Distt- South Bastar Dantewada (C.G.)

2) Lavkush Patel S/o Ramakant Aged about 19 years R/o Village-bhairamgarh sanjay Para,

3) Manoj Kumar samrath S/o Anantram Samrath Aged about – 22 years R/o Sanjay para Bhairamgarh

4) Budhram S/o Gutta Aged about 21 years, R/o Bajarpara,

5) Suresh Kumar Pondee S/o Pondee Dasru Aged about- 23 years R/o Kutru

6) Bhavesh Kumar Korsa S/o Suklu Korsa Aged about 21 years R/o Bardela

P.R. No. 1425/06
Presented by Shri Abhay Tiwari
dated 20/3/06

RECEIVED COPY 14.3.06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA CG-2073/06 13/03/06 Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

(6)

(2)

7) Vinod kumar Anka s/o Baji rao Anka Aged about 23 years R/o Kutru, (Manga peta)

8) Rijwana Khan D/o Abdul Rashid khan Aged about 20 years R/o Bhairamgarh (Irrigation)

9) Smt Batti Oyam W/o Somlu ram Oyam Aged about 24 years R/o Bhairamgarh Sanjay para

10) Tulsi ram Alam s/o Dasraiya Alam Aged about 22 years R/o Kutru

11) Ramaram Kashyap S/o Jagguram Aged about 21 years R/o Bhairamgarh sanjaypara,

All by occupation unemployeed youths and R/o Bhairamgarh Tah Bijapur, Distt-Dantewada South Bastar (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1) State of Chhattistgarh Through :Secretary , Panchayat,Department D.K.S. Bhawan Shastri chowk Raipur.(C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA CG-20/3/03 Bijapur Chhattisgarh

(7)

(ड)

2) Collector Dantewada South
Bastar Dantewada (C.G.)

3) Chief Executive Officer
Janpad Panchayat,
Bhairamgarh South Bastar
Dantewada (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

39

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W. P. No. 1377 OF 2006

Petitioners : Chandrabhan Nishad & Others

Versus

Respondents : State of C.G. & Others

Shri Abhay Kumar Tiwari, counsel for the petitioners.

Shri Sunil Otwani, panel lawyer for the respondents.

ORAL ORDER

(27th March, 2006)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, submits on instruction, that the petitioners wants to take appropriate remedy, as such the petitioners be permitted to withdraw with the above-stated liberty.

2. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the above-stated liberty. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Gauri